HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SHRI G.S. SINGHVI
AND
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

Writ Petition No. 11520 of 2007

Between:

Mende Parvathamma & others

... Petitioners

And

Government of India,
Rep. by its Under Secretary,
Ministry of Supply and Rehabilitation,
New Delhi & others

... Respondents

:: O R D E R ::

Counsel for the petitioners : Shri R.Raghunandan

September 13, 2007

Per G.S. SINGHVI, CJ

In this petition, the petitioners have made the following substantive prayer:

> " For the above mentioned reasons and grounds and those reasons and grounds which may be urged at the time of hearing, it is prayed that this Honourable Court may be pleased to grant an order, direction or writ, more so in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents in allotting the land admeasuring Ac.1.10 guntas in Survey No.304 of Popalaguda Village, Rajendernagar Mandal, Rangareddy District to the petitioners and the allotment of the said land to the 7th respondent vide proceedings No. SEP3/19/2001, and Sanad dated 26.2.2003 and subsequent orders by the 8th respondent dated 28.6.2006 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of

the Constitution of India and the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Land Tenancy Act and also the provisions of Evacuee Property Act and the Displaced Persons Rehabilitation Act and consequently set aside the allotment of the said and to the 7th respondent vide proceedings and Sanad dated 26.2.2003 and subsequent orders by the 8th respondent dated 28.6.2006 and direct the respondents to issue pattas and title deeds in favour of the petitioners with regard to the land admeasuring Ac.1.10 guntas in Survey No. 304 of Popalaguda Village, Rajendernagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District and pass such other order or orders as this Honourable Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

Shri R. Raghunandan, learned counsel for the petitioners says that he has been instructed to withdraw the writ petition.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

As a sequel to dismissal of the writ petition as withdrawn, W.P.M.P.No.14340 of 2007 filed by the petitioners for interim relief is also dismissed.

G.S. SINGHVI, CJ

C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

September 13, 2007
ksld